

# META: A Novel Method for Evaluating Pediatric Scoring Systems for Implementation

Sam Stubblefield, MD

As we move toward increased evidence-based and value-based care, health care systems place growing emphasis on using standardized protocols and pathways. Many of these pathways rely on various clinical scoring systems. As these scores have proliferated, it becomes increasingly challenging to determine which of these scoring systems to implement in your health care system. We propose a novel method for determining which scores to implement.

Clinical scores are applied throughout our lives, beginning immediately after birth with the Apgar score<sup>1</sup> and concluding with the FOUR score,<sup>2</sup> which is used to predict imminent brain death. If an infant develops croup, we have 2 scores.<sup>3,4</sup> If it is actually bronchiolitis, we have plenty more,<sup>5,6</sup> although none of them work well.<sup>7</sup> If the child progresses to asthma, we have yet more,<sup>8,9</sup> although most of these are variants of the ASS (Asthma Severity Score) and are not good either.<sup>10</sup> If none of these are suitable, we have over 35 other published respiratory scores to choose from.<sup>11</sup> If thinking about this gives you abdominal pain, the Alvarado<sup>12</sup> score will predict if you have appendicitis. If it makes you want to kick something, and you hurt your ankle or knee, the orthopedists in Ottawa created scores for those, too.<sup>13,14</sup> This is enough to make you want to bang your head against a wall. The good news is you have a choice of 3 scores for that (Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network, Canadian Assessment of Tomography for Childhood Head injury, and Children's Head Injury Algorithm for prediction of Clinically Important Events).<sup>15-17</sup> Rendering yourself mercifully unconscious allows application of Teasdale and Jennett's<sup>18</sup> score but thankfully means you no longer need to think about it.

Given the multiplicity of clinical scoring options, and the anxiety and upset they can cause (especially among those with a high Anxiety Sensitivity Index<sup>19</sup> score), we propose a novel scoring system for scoring scoring systems: the meetings, electronic health record integration, time, and angst (META) score (see Table 1). After assigning values to each category in the META score, sum the values and refer to Table 2 for interpretation.

Our next steps will be to validate the META score in a variety of health care systems, although our local experience has revealed it to have excellent construct, content, and criterion validity and to serve as a highly reliable measure. It has not escaped our attention that a multitude of other methods for scoring evaluations are in development. These include the Healthcare-Engaged Acetamethasine-Deprecating Assessment of Clinical Health Evaluations (HEADACHE), the Normalized Ordinal Metric for Optimal Ranking of Evaluations (NOMORE), and the Adequacy of Automated Arithmetical Assessment, Augmented (AAAAA). Given the multiplicity of these instruments, we recommend further efforts add clarity by creating a scoring system to score scoring systems scoring systems.

---

[www.hospitalpediatrics.org](http://www.hospitalpediatrics.org)

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1542/hpeds.2018-0086>

Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

Address correspondence to Sam Stubblefield, MD, Division of General Pediatrics, Nemours/A.I. duPont Hospital for Children, 1600 Rockland Rd, Wilmington, DE 19803. E-mail: [ssubble@nemours.org](mailto:ssubble@nemours.org)

HOSPITAL PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 2154-1663; Online, 2154-1671).

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The author has indicated he has no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** No external funding.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The author has indicated he has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Dr Stubblefield conceptualized the scoring system, drafted the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

*Division of General Pediatrics, Nemours/Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children, Wilmington, Delaware and Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania*

**TABLE 1** META Score Tool

Measure	Score		
	0	1	2
Meetings (no. required to ensure buy-in of all necessary stakeholders)	<25 (finite)	Each meeting leads to 1 additional meeting (infinite)	Each meeting leads to $\geq 2$ additional meetings (larger infinity)
EHR integration	Delays workflows by $\geq 10$ min per patient	Breaks 50%–95% of nursing and provider workflows	EHR vendor sets the server room on fire
Time to $\geq 50\%$ user adoption	1 complete EHR upgrade cycle	2 practice generations of clinicians	3 fathoms of sea level rise
Angst equivalent	Is it wrong to brew coffee with caffeinated water?	I never should have become a pediatrician. Where's the number for that truck driving school?	Sixteen-year-old-at-the-prom

EHR, electronic health record.

**TABLE 2** META Score Interpretation

META Score	Recommended Action
0–1	Consider only if implementation qualifies for ABP MOC part 4 credit
2–8	Do not implement

ABP MOC, American Board of Pediatrics Maintenance of Certification.

## Acknowledgments

I thank Dr Elizabeth Muth for her encouragement to report on this important topic and her help reviewing the manuscript. I also thank my fellow members of the Nemours Standardized Pathway Review Committee for exhaustively cataloging dehydration scoring systems, leading to the concept of META.

## REFERENCES

- Apgar V. A proposal for a new method of evaluation of the newborn infant. *Curr Res Anest Anal.* 1953;32(4):260–267
- Wijdicks EF, Bamlet WR, Maramattom BV, Manno EM, McClelland RL. Validation of a new coma scale: the FOUR score. *Ann Neurol.* 2005;58(4):585–593
- Westley CR, Cotton EK, Brooks JG. Nebulized racemic epinephrine by IPPB for the treatment of croup: a double-blind study. *Am J Dis Child.* 1978;132(5):484–487
- Chin R, Browne GJ, Lam LT, McCaskill ME, Fasher B, Hort J. Effectiveness of a croup clinical pathway in the management of children with croup presenting to an emergency department. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 2002;38(4):382–387
- Lowell DI, Lister G, Von Koss H, McCarthy P. Wheezing in infants: the response to epinephrine. *Pediatrics.* 1987;79(6):939–945
- Golan-Tripto I, Goldbart A, Akel K, Dizitzer Y, Novack V, Tal A. Modified Tal Score: validated score for prediction of bronchiolitis severity [published online ahead of print April 14, 2018]. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* doi: 10.1002/ppul.24007
- Destino L, Weisgerber MC, Soung P, et al. Validity of respiratory scores in bronchiolitis. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2012;2(4):202–209
- Bishop J, Carlin J, Nolan T. Evaluation of the properties and reliability of a clinical severity scale for acute asthma in children. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1992;45(1):71–76
- Gorelick MH, Stevens MW, Schultz TR, Scribano PV. Performance of a novel clinical score, the Pediatric Asthma Severity Score (PASS), in the evaluation of acute asthma. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2004; 11(1):10–18
- Hurwitz ME, Burney RE, Howatt WF, Crowley D, Mackenzie JR. Clinical scoring does not accurately assess hypoxemia in pediatric asthma patients. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1984;13(11):1040–1043
- Justicia-Grande AJ, Pardo Seco J, Rivero Calle I, Martínón-Torres F. Clinical respiratory scales: which one should we use? *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2017;11(12):925–943
- Alvarado A. A practical score for the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1986;15(5):557–564
- Stiell IG, Greenberg GH, McKnight RD, Nair RC, McDowell I, Worthington JR. A study to develop clinical decision rules for the use of radiography in acute ankle injuries. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1992;21(4):384–390
- Stiell IG, Greenberg GH, Wells GA, et al. Derivation of a decision rule for the use of radiography in acute knee injuries. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1995;26(4):405–413
- Dunning J, Daly JP, Lomas J-P, Lecky F, Batchelor J, Mackway-Jones K; Children's Head Injury Algorithm for the Prediction of Important Clinical Events Study Group. Derivation of the children's head injury algorithm for the prediction of important clinical events decision rule for head injury in children. *Arch Dis Child.* 2006;91(11):885–891
- Kuppermann N, Holmes JF, Dayan PS, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN). Identification of children at very low risk of clinically-important brain injuries after head trauma: a prospective cohort study [published correction appears in *Lancet.* 2014;383(9914):308]. *Lancet.* 2009;374(9696):1160–1170
- Osmond MH, Klassen TP, Wells GA, et al; Pediatric Emergency Research Canada (PERC) Head Injury Study Group. CATCH: a clinical decision rule for the use of computed tomography in children with minor head injury. *CMAJ.* 2010;182(4):341–348
- Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. *Lancet.* 1974;2(7872):81–84
- Reiss S, Peterson RA, Gursky DM, McNally RJ. Anxiety sensitivity, anxiety frequency and the prediction of fearfulness. *Behav Res Ther.* 1986;24(1):1–8

# **META: A Novel Method for Evaluating Pediatric Scoring Systems for Implementation**

Sam Stubblefield

*Hospital Pediatrics* 2018;8;502

DOI: 10.1542/hpeds.2018-0086 originally published online July 5, 2018;

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/8/8/502">http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/8/8/502</a>
<b>Supplementary Material</b>	Supplementary material can be found at:
<b>References</b>	This article cites 18 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: <a href="http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/8/8/502#BIBL">http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/8/8/502#BIBL</a>
<b>Subspecialty Collections</b>	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): <b>Electronic Health Records</b> <a href="http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/electronic_health_records_sub">http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/electronic_health_records_sub</a> <b>Evidence-Based Medicine</b> <a href="http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/evidence-based_medicine_sub">http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/evidence-based_medicine_sub</a> <b>Health Information Technology</b> <a href="http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/health_information_technology_sub">http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/health_information_technology_sub</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml">http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml">http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml</a>

# Hospital Pediatrics®

AN OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

**META: A Novel Method for Evaluating Pediatric Scoring Systems for Implementation**

Sam Stubblefield

*Hospital Pediatrics* 2018;8;502

DOI: 10.1542/hpeds.2018-0086 originally published online July 5, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/8/8/502>

Hospital Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Hospital Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

