

Off-label Medication Prescribing Patterns in Pediatrics: An Update

Katelyn Yackey, MD,^{ab} Kristin Stukus, MD,^b Daniel Cohen, MD,^b David Kline, PhD,^{b,c} Sonia Zhao, MS,^{b,c} Rachel Stanley, MD^b

OBJECTIVES: To describe the frequency of off-label drug use in 2014 as defined by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved age ranges in patients ≤ 18 years of age, to determine the rate of off-label drug use in 2014 by drug classification, and to compare current off-label medication usage rates with historical rates.

METHODS: This is a retrospective cohort study of an administrative database containing inpatient resource use data from January 1, 2014, to December 31, 2014. Patients ≤ 18 years of age receiving 1 of 76 selected commonly prescribed medications are included. Off-label drug use is defined as use in a patient younger than the lower limit of the FDA-approved age range for any indication or dosage form of that drug.

RESULTS: At least 1 drug was prescribed off label in 779 270 of 2 773 770 (28.1%) patient visits during the study period. Younger age, longer hospital stays, and mortality were associated with higher rates of off-label medication prescription. Off-label usage of certain medications differed between care settings. Rates of off-label medication use were higher in observational (45.5%), inpatient (53.9%), and ambulatory (54.2%) settings.

CONCLUSIONS: Although off-label drug use at major US pediatric hospitals is declining, 1 out of every 4 medications is not in accordance with FDA label indications for patient age. There exists substantial variation in off-label drug use among drug categories and encounter types. Although many commonly prescribed medications are FDA-approved for use in subpopulations of pediatric patients, studies of their safety, efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and optimal dosing are ongoing.

ABSTRACT

www.hospitalpediatrics.org

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/hpeds.2018-0168>

Copyright © 2019 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

Address correspondence to Katelyn Yackey, MD, Pediatric Emergency Medicine, University of Kentucky, 800 Rose Street Rm M53, Lexington, KY 40536. E-mail: katelyn.yackey@uky.edu

HOSPITAL PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 2154-1663; Online, 2154-1671).

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Dr Yackey conceptualized and designed the study and drafted the initial manuscript; Drs Stukus, Cohen, and Stanley conceptualized and designed the study and reviewed and revised the manuscript; Dr Kline and Mrs Zhao designed collection instruments and coordinated and supervised data collection and analysis; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.



^aDepartment of Emergency Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky; ^bNationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio; and ^cCenter for Biostatistics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) requires that medications be tested for safety and efficacy at a specific dosage (and for a specific time period) before approval for clinical use in a particular population.¹ Use of medications outside of these parameters is considered “off-label” drug use. Children present challenges in clinical trials owing to scientific, clinical, ethical, and logistic concerns, which have previously limited and even discouraged the testing of medications in children.¹ Consequently, the majority of medications used in the care of children has historically been used off label without an adequate understanding of appropriate dosage, safety, or efficacy.¹

Numerous legislative measures have been enacted to address the barriers in pediatric drug testing.^{1,2} In 2002, the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act (BPCA) directed the implementation of methods for pediatric drug development, including identifying and prioritizing drugs needing pediatric study.¹ The BPCA priority list, first generated in 2004, is annually updated and identifies medications that are deemed critical to the treatment of children and adolescents and to the needs for pediatric therapeutics.¹ Passed in 2003, the Pediatric Research Equity Act (PREA) allowed the FDA to require pediatric studies of any product that was likely to be used in a substantial number of pediatric patients or that had meaningful benefits for children over existing treatments.² In 2012, the US Congress passed the FDA Safety and Innovation Act, aiming to ensure that pediatric evaluations are conducted earlier in the drug development process.³ This act created accountability for the completion of pediatric studies under the BPCA and PREA. After the enactment of the BPCA and PREA, >500 pediatric-specific drug-labeling changes have been made.³

In 2007, Shah et al⁴ published a retrospective cohort study in which they analyzed inpatient off-label drug use from January 1, 2004, to December 31, 2004, at 31 tertiary care pediatric hospitals in the United States. Results revealed that at least 1 drug was used off label in 78.7% of patients and that 40% of the total dollars spent on these medications was for off-label

use.⁴ Authors of recent studies have analyzed the off-label use of certain medication classes in subspecialty populations of children with similar findings.⁵⁻⁷

To our knowledge, no recent studies have been published to describe the frequency of off-label drug use in pediatric patients across the inpatient, observational, ambulatory, and emergency department settings. Our aim was to analyze current rates of off-label medication use in children in light of ongoing efforts to incentivize and promote projects that improve pediatric drug labeling.

METHODS

Data Source

In this retrospective cohort study, we used the Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS) data set from 2014 (accessed in November 2016). PHIS is a comparative pediatric database and includes clinical and resource use data for inpatient, ambulatory surgery, emergency department, and observation unit patient encounters for >45 children’s hospitals in the United States. Blinded patient data are collected from >6 million patient encounters and include information about diagnoses, procedures, demographics, length of stay,

and discharge dispositions of patients. Data are de-identified at the time of submission and before data extraction and analysis. Additionally, resource use data are subjected to a number of reliability and validity checks before data quality reports are generated.

Aims and Hypothesis

We aimed to describe the frequency of off-label drug use in 2014 as defined by FDA-approved age ranges in patients ≤18 years of age and to determine the rate of off-label drug use in 2014 by drug classification and encounter type using PHIS. Additionally, we compared rates of off-label drug use in pediatric patients in 2014 with previous rates. We hypothesized that although the specific drugs used off label have changed over time, the frequency of off-label drug use has not changed.

Eligibility

All patients ≤18 years of age at the time of presentation to any of the >45 hospitals submitting data to PHIS from January 1, 2014, to December 31, 2014 were eligible for inclusion. Those patients, prescribed 1 of 76 preselected medications on the basis of frequency, composed the study population (see below and Table 1). This study was

TABLE 1 Percentage of Off-label Usage for 2014 BPCA Priority List Medications, Stratified by Encounter Type

2014 BPCA Priority List Medications	Off-label Usage, %					
	Total	Clinical	Emergency	Ambulatory	Observational	Inpatient
Albuterol	29.5	17.8	28.5	27.6	29.1	33.0
Ampicillin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Azithromycin	7.1	0	3.3	4.5	8.9	8.9
Clindamycin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Epinephrine	0	0	0	0	0	0
Furosemide	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heparin sodium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hydrocortisone	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ketamine	93.7	92.0	97.2	87.9	92.9	91.3
Lorazepam	65.0	42.6	45.2	46.9	60.4	67.2
Metoclopramide	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midazolam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Morphine sulfate	97.9	96.2	96.8	98.6	98.2	97.4
Pantoprazole	34.0	7.7	7.6	9.9	17.0	36.2
Prednisone	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim	1.5	0	0.1	0.4	0.4	1.8

determined exempt by our institutional review board.

Independent Variables

Demographic variables for each patient included age, sex, race, and insurance type. The specific encounter type (inpatient, observational, clinical, ambulatory, emergency, or other) and length of stay were recorded. Patient discharge disposition was determined and specifically defined as admitted, discharged from the hospital (to home, to court, or to law enforcement), transferred (to another hospital or health care institution), died, or other (hospice or left against medical advice).

Dependent Variable

We categorized drug use, the dependent variable, as either appropriate for age or off label. We defined off-label drug use as use in a patient who was younger than the lower limit of the FDA-approved age range for any indication or dosage form of that drug. Drug use was considered appropriate if the patient was equal to or older than the lower limit of the FDA-approved age range of a drug regardless of indication or dosage form. Because of limitations of the PHIS database, we could not determine the specific indication for which a drug was prescribed.

The most commonly prescribed drugs were examined for off-label use, as represented by patient-level data within PHIS. The top 100 most commonly prescribed drugs were listed, from which 76 medications were ultimately examined. Twenty-four commonly prescribed agents were removed from the final list because they were determined to not be discrete therapeutic medications (see Supplemental Table 5).

The lower limit of the FDA-approved age range for each drug was determined on the basis of consensus definitions from 3 separate drug information resources: Micromedex, Lexicomp, and DailyMed. All but 13 of the 76 drugs that were analyzed achieved a consensus definition for the current lower limit of the FDA-approved age range in 2 of the 3 databases. When a consensus was not achieved, the lower limit of the FDA-approved age range was defined

by a pharmacist at our institution (see Supplemental Tables 6). If a patient received a drug during 2014, regardless of the number of times the same drug was administered during the patient visit, it was counted as 1 prescription.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were obtained and stratified by off-label status to summarize the study population. All study medications were classified into 1 of 7 categories: central nervous system (CNS); respiratory and/or ear, nose, and throat (ENT); cardiac; endocrine; fluid, electrolyte, nutrition, and gastroenterology; hematology and/or oncology; and infectious disease (ID). The frequencies and proportions of visits in which patients received an off-label drug prescription were generated for each medication under these categories. The percent of off-label usage was also summarized for the 2014 BPCA priority list medications, which were also on the study medication list and stratified by encounter type.

RESULTS

There were 2 773 770 patient visits (≤ 18 years of age) recorded in PHIS in 2014, during which 1 of the 76 selected drugs was prescribed. Of these visits, 779 270 (28.1%) included the prescription of at least 1 off-label drug. Characteristics of patients who were prescribed medications off label are listed in Table 2. Rates of off-label medication use were higher in the inpatient, observational, and ambulatory settings (53.9% [95% confidence interval (CI): 53.8%–54.0%]; 45.5% [95% CI: 45.3%–45.7%]; and 54.2% [95% CI: 54.0%–54.3%], respectively) compared with the emergency setting (10.3% [95% CI: 10.3%–10.4%]).

Rates of off-label medication prescription were similar despite patient sex, race, and insurance type. Younger patients had higher off-label rates when compared with their older counterparts. Specifically, prescriptions to neonates < 28 days of age were off label 51% of the time (95% CI: 50.6%–51.3%). Infants 29 days to 1 year old received off-label prescriptions at a rate of 44.8% (95% CI: 44.7%–44.9%). Older patients,

however, experienced lower rates of off-label medication prescription (21.5% [95% CI: 21.4%–21.6%] for children ages 13–18 years).

Longer patient stays (> 1 day) were associated with higher rates of off-label medication usage (55.3% [95% CI: 55.1%–55.4%]) compared with visits of ≤ 1 day (22.8% [95% CI: 22.7%–22.8%] of visits). Regarding discharge disposition, patients who ultimately died had the highest off-label prescription rates (86.9% [95% CI: 85.9%–87.8%]), whereas patients who were discharged or transferred received off-label prescriptions at much lower rates (28% [95% CI: 27.9%–28.0%] and 38.1% [95% CI: 37.7%–38.6%], respectively).

There was substantial variation in off-label medication prescription by drug category (Table 3). CNS medications and respiratory and/or ENT medications had the highest rates of off-label use at 30.4% (95% CI: 30.3%–30.5%) and 24.9% (95% CI: 24.8%–25%), respectively. Medications in the ID category, by comparison, were prescribed off label at a rate of only 1.7% (95% CI: 1.7%–1.8%). Several medications were noted to have lower usage rates but nearly universal off-label prescribing. This was most notable within the CNS category. Dexmedetomidine, hydromorphone, and ketamine had overall usage rates of 2.5%, 2.3%, and 2%, with off-label usage rates of 98.7%, 95%, and 93.7%, respectively. Similarly, morphine was used in 12.2% of patients and was used off label 97.9% of the time. In contrast, ibuprofen was used in a relatively high percentage (23.5%) of patients and was used off label $< 1\%$ of the time (Table 3).

Commonly prescribed 2014 BPCA priority list medications are further analyzed by prescription setting in Table 1. Although a number of these medications were used on label in all patients, variation in off-label usage was demonstrated for other medications on the basis of encounter setting. Albuterol had higher rates of off-label prescription in the emergency (28.5% [95% CI: 28.3%–28.7%]), observational (29% [95% CI: 28.5%–29.6%]), and inpatient settings (33% [95% CI: 32.7%–33.3%]) compared with in the clinical setting (17.8%

TABLE 2 Characteristics of the Study Population, Stratified by Off-label Status

	Overall (<i>N</i> = 2 773 770)	Off-label Usage (<i>n</i> = 779 270), <i>n</i> (%)	No Off-label Usage (<i>n</i> = 1 994 500), <i>n</i> (%)
Age			
≤28 d	87 324	44 506 (51.0)	42 818 (49.0)
29 d–1 y	639 652	286 666 (44.8)	352 986 (55.2)
2–5 y	759 503	160 836 (21.1)	598 667 (78.8)
6–12 y	776 361	177 579 (22.9)	598 782 (77.1)
13+ y	510 930	109 683 (21.5)	401 247 (78.5)
Sex			
Boys	1 505 561	451 678 (30.0)	1 053 883 (70.0)
Girls	1 267 900	327 466 (25.8)	940 434 (74.2)
Race			
White	1 510 569	460 818 (30.5)	1 049 751 (69.5)
African American	641 279	151 186 (23.6)	490 093 (76.4)
Other	505 786	137 089 (27.1)	368 697 (72.9)
Insurance type			
Public	1 602 570	423 162 (26.4)	1 179 408 (73.6)
Private	988 218	310 822 (31.5)	677 396 (68.6)
Other	171 856	42 588 (24.8)	129 268 (75.2)
Encounter type			
Inpatient	532 850	287 153 (53.9)	245 697 (46.1)
Observational	189 874	86 351 (45.5)	103 523 (54.5)
Ambulatory	442 818	239 915 (54.2)	202 903 (45.8)
Emergency department	1 451 426	149 969 (10.3)	1 301 457 (89.7)
Clinic visit	87 342	7543 (8.6)	79 799 (91.4)
Other	69 438	8337 (12.0)	61 101 (88.0)
Length of stay			
≤1 d	2 320 730	528 843 (22.8)	1 791 887 (77.2)
>1 d	453 040	250 427 (55.3)	202 613 (44.7)
Discharge disposition			
Discharged	2 647 285	739 921 (28.0)	1 907 364 (72.0)
Transferred	37 161	14 175 (38.1)	22 986 (61.9)
Died	4851	4215 (86.9)	636 (13.1)
Other	9971	1103 (11.1)	8868 (88.9)

diseases are more likely to receive medications outside of FDA labeling indications.

These findings differ from those of previous studies in which off-label medication use was analyzed in pediatric patients.^{4–10} In their study, Shah et al⁴ similarly measured off-label drug use in a broad cohort of pediatric patients who were hospitalized as reported through PHIS in 2004. Shah et al⁴ concluded that 78.7% of patients received at least 1 of the most frequently prescribed drugs off label. In contrast, with our multicenter study, we were able to capture not only children who were hospitalized but also clinical, emergency, ambulatory, and observational patient encounters. The inclusion of outpatient visits in the current study could, in part, explain the overall lower rates of off-label drug use when compared with those in the 2007 study. However, at 53.9% (Table 2), our inpatient off-label prescription rates were nearly 25% less than those described by Shah et al⁴. Furthermore, rates in the clinical setting were 8.6%, >50% lower than rates cited in a 2009 study by Bazzano et al,⁹ in which outpatient data from the 2001–2004 National Ambulatory Medical Care Surveys were used to analyze off-label drug use in pediatric clinic patients. Although direct comparisons with previous studies are affected by differing definitions of off-label drug usage and medications analyzed, these comparisons reveal that drug labeling for children has increased while reinforcing the need for further pediatric-specific research.

In a smaller study published in 2010, Czaja et al⁵ analyzed a cohort of PICU patients and found that 85% received at least 1 medication off label. Patients requiring intensive care often experience longer inpatient stays and may have a higher likelihood of mortality. Although not specifically analyzing PICU patients, we found that inpatient encounters, hospital stays >1 day, and patient mortality correlated with higher rates of off-label drug prescription (Table 2). In a 2010 single-center study, Phan et al¹⁰ analyzed the frequency of off-label and unlicensed medication use in a pediatric emergency department, concluding that 26.2% of

[95% CI: 16.5%–19.1%]. Lorazepam was used off label at rates of 60.4% (95% CI: 58.6%–62.1%) and 67.2% (95% CI: 66.8%–67.6%) in the observational and inpatient settings, respectively, compared with 42.6% (95% CI: 38.8%–46.4%) in the clinical setting and 45.2% (95% CI: 43.6%–46.8%) in the emergency setting. Similar findings were noted for azithromycin, pantoprazole, and sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim.

DISCUSSION

Our analysis of off-label drug use in children's hospitals during 2014 reveals that

the majority of patient encounters included prescriptions for drugs in accordance with FDA label indications for patient age. Higher rates of off-label medication use in pediatric patients were associated with younger patient age, longer hospital stays, and encounters categorized as inpatient, observational, or ambulatory. Patients who died had notably higher rates of off-label medication prescription compared with patients who were discharged or transferred. Although we are unable to directly correlate off-label drug use with illness severity, with these results, it is suggested that children with more severe

medication orders in 2191 patients were off label. In our study, rates of off-label medication prescription in emergency settings were 10.3%; however, this number accounts only for those patients seen in the emergency department and not subsequently admitted. In 2012, Lee et al⁷ found that antidepressant prescription in children and adolescents was on label only 9.2% of the time. In our study, we did not capture psychiatric prescriptions because they did not occur in high frequency. In contrast to our study, these investigations are limited by their smaller sample size and are focused on subspecialty care.

Off-label drug prescription rates continue to vary by patient age. In our study, neonates ≤ 28 days of age had the highest rates of off-label medication prescription. Similarly, in their study, Hsu and Brazelton¹¹ concluded that patients ≤ 27 days of age are the most lacking in FDA-approved medication guidelines.¹²⁻²⁷ These results contrast with findings in the study by Shah et al,⁴ in which patient age > 28 days was associated with higher rates of off-label drug use. Bazzano et al⁹ found that children < 2 years of age were more likely to receive medications off label. This finding is reiterated in the current study, indicating that drug safety and efficacy research in young children should remain a priority.

Our study reveals that there continues to be substantial variation in off-label drug use by drug categories, which is consistent with a previous study.⁴ Medications that affect the CNS were used off label $> 30\%$ of the time in the current study, whereas use of off-label anti-infective agents occurred $< 2\%$ of the time, and steroid use was nearly always on label. This suggests that further investment in the research of analgesics, anesthetics, and sedatives in the pediatric population is warranted over other drug categories.

Off-label drug prescription of a number of medications was influenced by clinical setting in our study, as demonstrated in Table 1. Medications such as azithromycin, lorazepam, pantoprazole, and sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim were used off label at notably higher rates in the observational and inpatient settings compared with in the clinical, emergency,

TABLE 3 Drug Classification, Frequency, and Proportion of Study Patients Prescribed Drug and Percent of Off-label Prescription

Commonly Prescribed Medications by Drug Classification	Patients in PHIS Prescribed Drug, n (%)	Patients in PHIS Prescribed Drug Off Label, n (%)
CNS	1 821 645 (65.7)	553 655 (30.4)
Acetaminophen	735 724 (26.5)	0 (0)
Bupivacaine	117 041 (4.2)	83 061 (71.0)
Dexmedetomidine	68 493 (2.5)	67 576 (98.7)
Diazepam	38 958 (1.4)	266 (0.7)
Fentanyl	449 284 (16.2)	97 361 (21.7)
Hydromorphone	62 330 (2.3)	59 206 (95.0)
Ibuprofen	652 754 (23.5)	4354 (0.7)
Ketamine	55 547 (2.0)	52 023 (93.7)
Ketorolac	220 696 (8.0)	19 785 (9.0)
Levetiracetam	40 676 (1.5)	987 (2.4)
Lorazepam	60 647 (2.2)	39 429 (65.0)
Meperidine	40 769 (1.5)	0 (0)
Midazolam	339 571 (12.2)	0 (0)
Morphine sulfate	338 584 (12.2)	331 332 (97.9)
Neostigmine	101 920 (3.7)	0 (0)
Oxycodone	94 012 (3.4)	53 417 (56.8)
Propofol	415 430 (15.0)	9239 (2.2)
Rocuronium	111 341 (4.0)	11 301 (10.2)
Sevoflurane	58 020 (2.1)	0 (0)
Succinylcholine	27 970 (1.0)	0 (0)
Vecuronium	32 106 (1.2)	4724 (14.7)
Respiratory and/or ENT	652 987 (23.5)	162 447 (24.9)
Albuterol	336 152 (12.1)	99 264 (29.5)
Chlorhexidine	61 226 (2.2)	0 (0)
Diphenhydramine	189 414 (6.8)	27 016 (14.3)
Fluticasone	48 573 (1.8)	381 (0.8)
Ipratropium bromide	126 516 (4.6)	0 (0)
Oxymetazoline	69 288 (2.5)	40 967 (59.1)
Phenylephrine	37 692 (1.4)	0 (0)
Cardiac	665 259 (24.0)	64 154 (9.6)
Atropine	28 843 (1.0)	0 (0)
Bupivacaine and epinephrine	70 588 (2.5)	49 299 (69.8)
Epinephrine	87 471 (3.2)	0 (0)
Lidocaine	463 803 (16.7)	0 (0)
Lidocaine and epinephrine	80 844 (2.9)	0 (0)
Lidocaine and prilocaine	31 431 (1.1)	0 (0)
Ropivacaine	25 954 (0.9)	15 520 (59.8)
Endocrine	652 823 (23.5)	0 (0)
Dexamethasone	453 142 (16.3)	0 (0)
Hydrocortisone	36 121 (1.3)	0 (0)
Methylprednisolone	58 120 (2.1)	0 (0)
Prednisolone	127 383 (4.6)	0 (0)
Prednisone	38 340 (1.4)	0 (0)

TABLE 3 Continued

Commonly Prescribed Medications by Drug Classification	Patients in PHIS Prescribed Drug, <i>n</i> (%)	Patients in PHIS Prescribed Drug Off Label, <i>n</i> (%)
Fluid, electrolyte, nutrition, and gastroenterology	1 398 749 (50.4)	164 517 (11.8)
Calcium gluconate	24 408 (0.9)	0 (0)
Docusate	41 216 (1.5)	5322 (12.9)
Famotidine	29 236 (1.1)	0 (0)
Furosemide	49 828 (1.8)	0 (0)
Glycerin	34 832 (1.3)	23 630 (67.8)
Glycopyrrolate	143 812 (5.2)	3479 (2.4)
Lansoprazole	42 270 (1.5)	10 536 (24.9)
Magnesium sulfate	40 016 (1.4)	0 (0)
Metoclopramide	29 910 (1.1)	0 (0)
Ondansetron	817 265 (29.5)	7583 (0.9)
Pantoprazole	27 668 (1.0)	9400 (34.0)
Phytonadione (vitamin K ₁)	48 495 (1.8)	0 (0)
Polyethylene glycol-electrolyte solution	99 461 (3.6)	1720 (1.7)
Potassium chloride	71 281 (2.6)	69 832 (98.0)
Ranitidine	101 162 (3.7)	6498 (6.4)
Sodium bicarbonate	38 159 (1.4)	0 (0)
Sodium chloride	727 576 (26.2)	83 220 (11.4)
Sodium phosphate and sodium biphosphate	28 916 (1.0)	2570 (8.9)
Hematology and/or oncology	178 098 (6.4)	2099 (1.2)
Heparin	167 190 (6.0)	0 (0)
Thrombin	22 571 (0.8)	2099 (9.3)
ID	678 333 (24.5)	11 705 (1.7)
Amoxicillin	63 138 (2.3)	0 (0)
Amoxicillin and clavulanate	22 924 (0.8)	0 (0)
Ampicillin	61 330 (2.2)	0 (0)
Azithromycin	33 722 (1.2)	2382 (7.1)
Bacitracin	90 389 (3.3)	0 (0)
Cefazolin	186 461 (6.7)	6942 (3.7)
Cefotaxime	30 116 (1.1)	0 (0)
Ceftriaxone	119 479 (4.3)	0 (0)
Clindamycin	85 267 (3.1)	0 (0)
Erythromycin	49 823 (1.8)	0 (0)
Gentamicin	46 660 (1.7)	0 (0)
Nystatin	32 951 (1.2)	0 (0)
Ofloxacin	34 498 (1.2)	577 (1.7)
Piperacillin and tazobactam	35 007 (1.3)	2750 (7.9)
Sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim	37 494 (1.4)	552 (1.5)
Vancomycin	58 677 (2.1)	0 (0)

off-label usage of BPCA priority list medications ranged from 0% to 98.7%, suggesting that although a number of medications may be FDA-approved for use in many members of the pediatric population, there remain ongoing questions regarding pharmacokinetics, optimal dosing, safety, and efficacy.

The current study has limitations that require acknowledgment. First, the PHIS database captures only a portion of pediatric care delivered in the United States because the majority of children receive their care outside of tertiary and quaternary children's hospitals. Thus, our study is only reflective of prescribing in these settings, and rates may be higher or lower than true pediatric population rates. In addition, we were unable to determine specific indications for which medications were prescribed and could not determine the dose, form, or route of the medication. These limitations likely led to an underestimation of off-label drug usage in pediatric patients. For example, although albuterol nebulization is approved for ages ≥ 2 years, the metered-dose inhaler is approved only for age > 4 years. Thus, the metered-dose inhaler given to a 3-year-old patient was considered on label in the current study, although, by FDA definition, it was truly off label. Similarly, we were unable to capture any patient who received a higher than approved dose or a medication for any indication for which it was not labeled. In our study, we only analyzed the most commonly prescribed medications to children in 2014. Less common disease states, such as psychiatric or oncologic disease, were not captured in our study because the medications employed to manage these illnesses were less commonly prescribed. It is possible that such medications are used off label frequently because of smaller patient populations, less opportunities for study, and/or fewer on-label alternatives.

and ambulatory settings. This may be driven by patient-level factors, such as severity of illness, that influence location of presentation and need for admission and provider-level factors, such as subspecialty training and familiarity with therapeutic alternatives.

Importantly, in 2016, 16 of the 76 most commonly prescribed pediatric medications in 2014 remained on the BPCA priority list for the same year¹ (Table 4). Furthermore, 22 of the commonly prescribed medications are on the most recent BPCA priority list (published in 2017). In our study, the rate of

Although more than a quarter of patient visits in our study included the use of at least 1 commonly prescribed medication off label, we were unable to determine whether use led to adverse events or whether the drug was effective in managing the condition for which it was

TABLE 4 BPCA Priority List by Year and Off-label Usage in 2014

BPCA Priority List 2017	BPCA Priority List 2014	BPCA Priority List 2004	Off-label Use in 2014, %
Albuterol	Albuterol	—	29.5
Ampicillin	Ampicillin	Ampicillin	0
Azithromycin	Azithromycin	Azithromycin	7.0
Clindamycin	Clindamycin	—	0
Dexmedetomidine	—	—	98.7
Diazepam	—	—	0.7
Epinephrine	Epinephrine	—	0
Furosemide	Furosemide	Furosemide	0
Heparin	Heparin	Heparin	0
Hydrocortisone	Hydrocortisone	—	0
Hydromorphone	—	—	95.0
Inhaled anesthetics (sevoflurane)	—	—	0
Ketamine	Ketamine	Ketamine	93.7
Levetiracetam	—	—	2.4
Lorazepam	Lorazepam	Lorazepam	65.0
Prokinetic drugs	Prokinetic drugs	Metoclopramide	0
Midazolam	Midazolam	—	0
Morphine	Morphine	—	97.9
Ondansetron	—	—	0.9
Pantoprazole	Pantoprazole	—	34.0
Prednisone	Prednisone	—	0
Sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim	Sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim	—	1.5
—	—	Piperacillin and tazobactam	7.9

—, not applicable.

prescribed. It is possible that these medications were used off label because no reasonable on-label alternative exists, further highlighting the importance of addressing gaps in pediatric-specific drug knowledge.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study reveals lower rates of off-label medication use in children compared with previous studies, suggesting the positive impact of FDA labeling initiatives. However, 1 out of every 4 patient visits were associated with off-label prescriptions, and variation in off-label medication use by drug class and clinical setting persists, highlighting the continued need for comprehensive drug development studies in which safety, efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and optimal dosing are evaluated in pediatric patients.

Acknowledgments

Jennifer Kline assisted in the study protocol development and institutional review board submission and Beth Burkhart assisted in accessing the PHIS database.

REFERENCES

1. National Institutes of Health Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. Best pharmaceuticals for children act (BPCA). Available at: <https://bpca.nichd.nih.gov/Pages/default.aspx>. Accessed October 1, 2016
2. Institute of Medicine (US) Forum on Drug Discovery, Development, and Translation. *Addressing the Barriers to Pediatric Drug Development: Workshop Summary*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US); 2008
3. Frattarelli DA, Galinkin JL, Green TP, et al; American Academy of Pediatrics

Committee on Drugs. Off-label use of drugs in children. *Pediatrics*. 2014; 133(3):563–567

4. Shah SS, Hall M, Goodman DM, et al. Off-label drug use in hospitalized children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2007;161(3):282–290
5. Czaja AS, Reiter PD, Schultz ML, Valuck RJ. Patterns of off-label prescribing in the pediatric intensive care unit and prioritizing future research. *J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;20(3):186–196
6. Czaja AS, Valuck R. Off-label antidepressant use in children and adolescents compared with young adults: extent and level of evidence. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2012; 21(9):997–1004
7. Lee E, Teschemaker AR, Johann-Liang R, et al. Off-label prescribing patterns of antidepressants in children and adolescents. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2012;21(2):137–144
8. Pasquali SK, Hall M, Slonim AD, et al. Off-label use of cardiovascular medications in children hospitalized with congenital and acquired heart disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2008;1(2):74–83
9. Bazzano ATF, Mangione-Smith R, Schonlau M, Suttrop MJ, Brook RH. Off-label prescribing to children in the United States outpatient setting. *Acad Pediatr*. 2009;9(2):81–88
10. Phan H, Leder M, Fishley M, Moeller M, Nahata M. Off-label and unlicensed medication use and associated adverse drug events in a pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2010; 26(6):424–430
11. Hsu B, Brazelton T. Off-label medication use in an academic hospital pediatric critical care unit. *WMJ*. 2009;108(7):343–348
12. McKinzie JP, Wright SW, Wrenn KD. Pediatric drug therapy in the emergency department: does it meet FDA-approved prescribing guidelines? *Am J Emerg Med*. 1997;15(2):118–121
13. Roberts R, Rodriguez W, Murphy D, Crescenzi T. Pediatric drug labeling:

- improving the safety and efficacy of pediatric therapies. *JAMA*. 2003;290(7):905–911
14. Bajcetic M, Jelisavcic M, Mitrovic J, et al. Off label and unlicensed drugs use in paediatric cardiology. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2005;61(10):775–779
 15. Smith MC, Williamson J, Yaster M, Boyd GJ, Heitmiller ES. Off-label use of medications in children undergoing sedation and anesthesia. *Anesth Analg*. 2012;115(5):1148–1154
 16. Witmer CM, Huang YS, Lynch K, Raffini LJ, Shah SS. Off-label recombinant factor VIIa use and thrombosis in children: a multi-center cohort study. *J Pediatr*. 2011;158(5):820–825.e1
 17. Lindell-Osuagwu L, Korhonen MJ, Saano S, Helin-Tanninen M, Naaranlahti T, Kokki H. Off-label and unlicensed drug prescribing in three paediatric wards in Finland and review of the international literature. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2009;34(3):277–287
 18. Welch WP, Yang W, Taylor-Zapata P, Flynn JT. Antihypertensive drug use by children: are the drugs labeled and indicated? *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2012;14(6):388–395
 19. Pandolfini C, Bonati M. A literature review on off-label drug use in children. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2005;164(9):552–558
 20. Chen DT, Wynia MK, Moloney RM, Alexander GC. U.S. physician knowledge of the FDA-approved indications and evidence base for commonly prescribed drugs: results of a national survey. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2009;18(11):1094–1100
 21. Novak E, Allen PJ. Prescribing medications in pediatrics: concerns regarding FDA approval and pharmacokinetics. *Pediatr Nurs*. 2007;33(1):64–70
 22. Dick A, Keady S, Mohamed F, et al. Use of unlicensed and off-label medications in paediatric gastroenterology with a review of the commonly used formularies in the UK. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2003;17(4):571–575
 23. Horen B, Montastruc JL, Lapeyre-Mestre M. Adverse drug reactions and off-label drug use in paediatric outpatients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2002;54(6):665–670
 24. Conroy S, Newman C, Gudka S. Unlicensed and off label drug use in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and other malignancies in children. *Ann Oncol*. 2003;14(1):42–47
 25. Conroy S, Choonara I, Impicciatore P, et al. Survey of unlicensed and off label drug use in paediatric wards in European countries. European Network for Drug Investigation in Children. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7227):79–82
 26. Turner S, Nunn AJ, Fielding K, Choonara I. Adverse drug reactions to unlicensed and off-label drugs on paediatric wards: a prospective study. *Acta Paediatr*. 1999;88(9):965–968
 27. Turner S, Longworth A, Nunn AJ, Choonara I. Unlicensed and off label drug use in paediatric wards: prospective study. *BMJ*. 1998;316(7128):343–345

Off-label Medication Prescribing Patterns in Pediatrics: An Update
Katelyn Yackey, Kristin Stukus, Daniel Cohen, David Kline, Sonia Zhao and Rachel Stanley

Hospital Pediatrics 2019;9;186

DOI: 10.1542/hpeds.2018-0168 originally published online February 11, 2019;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/9/3/186
Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/suppl/2019/02/07/hpeds.2018-0168.DCSupplemental
References	This article cites 25 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/9/3/186#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Administration/Practice Management http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/administration:practice_management_sub Pharmacology http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/pharmacology_sub Safety http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/safety_sub Therapeutics http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/therapeutics_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.hosppeds.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

Hospital Pediatrics®

AN OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Off-label Medication Prescribing Patterns in Pediatrics: An Update

Katelyn Yackey, Kristin Stukus, Daniel Cohen, David Kline, Sonia Zhao and Rachel Stanley

Hospital Pediatrics 2019;9;186

DOI: 10.1542/hpeds.2018-0168 originally published online February 11, 2019;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/9/3/186>

Data Supplement at:

<http://hosppeds.aappublications.org/content/suppl/2019/02/07/hpeds.2018-0168.DCSupplemental>

Hospital Pediatrics is an official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. Hospital Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2019 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

